1.   Introduction: The Purpose of Assessment

At Union Theological College assessment is an integrated and integral part of learning and teaching. It is the principal instrument by which we:
- recognise, reward and monitor levels of achievement;
- identify areas for development in both teaching and student learning;
- maintain academic standards; and
- help to prepare students for life beyond the College, particularly in Christian ministry.

This policy document provides the principles and expectations that define and safeguard the management of assessment according to QAA expectations. It is intended to be explicit, transparent and accessible to all relevant audiences.

The principles in this policy have been formulated in accordance with the following documents:
- The UK Quality Code for Higher Education:
- QAA Advice and Guidance: Assessment (2018);
- the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications; and
- the QAA Subject Benchmark Statement: Theology and Religious Studies (2019).

There are many different forms of assessment, serving a variety of purposes. These include:
- promoting student learning by providing the student with appropriate feedback;
- evaluating student knowledge, understanding, abilities, skills or competencies;
- providing a mark or grade that enables a student's performance to be established, and may also be used to make progress decisions; and
- enabling the public (including employers) and higher education providers, to know that an individual has attained an appropriate level of achievement that reflects the academic standards set by the awarding institution and agreed UK norms, including the Frameworks for Higher Education Qualifications. This may include meeting the requirements of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland's Council for Training in Ministry.

In addition, as a higher education institution with responsibility for the academic standards of awards made in its name, the College is required to have effective procedures for:
- designing, approving, monitoring and reviewing the assessment strategies for programmes and awards;

- implementing rigorous assessment policies and practices that ensure the standard for each award and award element is set and maintained at the appropriate level, and that student performance is properly judged against this; and
- evaluating how academic standards are maintained through assessment practice, which also serves to encourage effective learning.

2.   General Principles of Assessment

These principles draw on published guidance on best practice in assessment in higher education and reflect the Guiding Principles of the UK Quality Code Advice and Guidance for Assessment (2018).

(i)   Assessment methods and criteria are aligned to learning outcomes and teaching activities

Assessment tasks will be aligned with and will measure student attainment of the intended learning outcomes in an effective way. All programmes and modules have documents which provide learning outcomes and assessment methods and weightings – these are available to all students via the VLE.

(ii)   Assessment is reliable, consistent, fair and valid

In order for assessment to be accurate and repeatable there will be clear and consistent processes for the setting, marking, grading and moderation of assignments. A variety of assessment tasks will be used so that the whole range of learning outcomes may be appropriately assessed.

(iii)   Assessment design is approached holistically

Requirements in terms of programme and module design are communicated and guided by the Programme Design and Programme and Module Review Policy. The approval of the assessment package for any module includes scrutiny of assessment elements and how they contribute to the assessment of programme level learning outcomes. The College also has policies dealing with the Recognition of Prior Learning and Work-Based Learning Opportunities.

(iv)   Assessment is inclusive and equitable

The College will endeavour to ensure that all assigned tasks do not disadvantage any group or individual, e.g. students with disabilities. This is to ensure that all students are provided with an equal opportunity to demonstrate their achievement of the learning outcomes – this includes, where necessary, reasonable adjustments. The role of the external examiner, as laid out in the Externality Policy, is one of the processes by which the College maintains

oversight of the assessment process to ensure that students have an equal opportunity to demonstrate their achievement.

(v)   Assessment is explicit and transparent

Assessment tasks will be clear and will be communicated to students, staff and external examiners in a timely manner. All programme and module documents provide a statement of how students will be assessed. Assessment is accompanied by marking criteria and, where relevant, a conceptual equivalent marking scale.

(vi)   Assessment and feedback is purposeful and supports the learning process

Students will receive feedback on all assessment tasks (both formative and summative) in a timely manner. Feedback on assessed work is an important mechanism for enhancing students' ability to interpret assessment criteria and to gain further awareness of assessment standards. Normal practice is for assessed work to be returned within a three-week period in order that the feedback may have a formative function for subsequent assessment.

(vii)   Assessment is timely

All programmes and modules are required to provide a statement of how and when students will be assessed. This is made available to students via the VLE in programme handbooks and module outlines. Where students require reassessment, sufficient time for further learning is available before resit/resubmission dates.

(viii)   Assessment is efficient and manageable

Assessment will be used as a tool for learning and engagement. Assessment should be aligned with intended learning outcomes in order to make assessment efficient. Assessment should not overload either staff or students and the scheduling of summative assessment will ensure that students have sufficient time to develop the intended learning outcomes.

(ix)   Students are supported and prepared for assessment

Beginning with the initial induction, the nature and basis of assessment is communicated to students in order to promote assessment literacy. There will be an appropriate mix of formative and summative assessment within each module. Feedback is an integral part of the way in which students are given appropriate support to develop the competencies required to meet the learning outcomes.

(x)   Assessment encourages academic integrity

The College promotes academic integrity in the area of assessment through the following means: prior guidance which highlights the importance of academic integrity and informs students of the consequences of academic offences; modelling best practice in lectures and

tutorials; designing assessment tasks in such a way as to minimise the opportunities for plagiarism, self-plagiarism and contract cheating; and utilising Unicheck plagiarism detection software.

3. Quality Assurance and Enhancement

3.1   Definition of Assessment

A programme must include both formative and summative assessments:

- Formative assessment provides students with opportunities to develop their knowledge and understanding of assessment so that they understand how to improve the quality of work. It may or may not include a mark and for purely formative assessment the mark does not contribute to the final module grade.
- Summative assessment is the formal assessment of how students demonstrate that they have fulfilled the outcomes of the programme of study and that they have achieved the standard required for progression towards an award.
- While some assessment may be entirely formative (for instance, classroom or seminar activities) summative assessment often includes a formative element.

3.2   Assessment Strategies

In order for the College's assessment to be consistent with the national standard of awards it should be carried out by competent and impartial examiners with the involvement of external examiners (see the College's Externality Policy).

The College's processes for staff recruitment and development ensure that everyone involved in student assessment is competent to undertake their roles and fulfil their responsibilities.

Assessment is designed in a 'top down' manner i.e. design begins with the programme and then goes down into modules.

Assessment should be designed in such a way that, where appropriate, it meets the requirements of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland's Council for Training in Ministry.

Assessments will be marked according to published marking criteria. Details of how degree classifications are calculated will be transparent and can be found in the PTFI Postgraduate Taught Study Regulations.

Each module will provide formative feedback (oral, written, or electronic) to individuals or a group of students.

3.3 Assessment Tasks and Learning Outcomes

All assessment elements will be aligned with the relevant learning outcomes.

All specified programme learning outcomes will be appropriately tested through the summative assessments of the programme's modules.

Modular assessment tasks will be designed to test the achievement of all the specified module learning outcomes.

The award of any credit and or degree is based on the achievement of the intended learning outcomes as demonstrated through summative assessment.

3.4 Verification of Assessment

The Faculty should ensure that there is consistency across departments in the form of assessed work (e.g. length, complexity and volume) and the components of the assessment (intended learning outcomes should be assessed through the minimum of discrete tasks).

Departments are responsible for the internal scrutiny of all proposed assessment tasks prior to submission to external examiners for comment and approval.

Module review provides a significant opportunity to review how effective assessment has been in measuring course learning outcomes.

3.5 Communication to Students

The module documents should include details of all approved assessment, the marking criteria, the method of submission and the date of submission or other assessment arrangements. This will be available on the VLE for all PTFI students.

Faculty and students engage in a dialogue designed to promote a shared understanding of the nature and basis of assessment. This begins in the orientation process and continues through a student's programme, particularly through use of feedback. The aim is to develop assessment literacy i.e. to help students to develop the ability to understand the process of assessment and to help students develop the confidence and ability to evaluate, and improve on, their own performance.

Whilst the College will ensure that all assessment information is available it is a student's responsibility to make themselves aware of all assessment details.

3.6   Word Count

A minimum and/or maximum word count may be specified as part of the assessment criteria for a component or module. The word count must specify whether footnotes, bibliographies, and appendices etc. are to be included. Where a word count is included, the module information for students must provide clear details of any penalties that will apply.

3.7   Academic Integrity

Students must maintain the highest standards of academic integrity whilst studying at the College. All work submitted for assessment must be the student's own, unless authorisation has been given for collaboration, and all work must be appropriately cited and referenced.

The College operates effective processes for promoting academic integrity and identifying, investigating and responding to unacceptable academic practice by practical measures such as:

- minimising the availability of opportunities for plagiarism, self-plagiarism and contract cheating by utilising a variety of assessment methods and by regularly refreshing assessment topics;
- actively highlighting matters of academic integrity, modelling best practice in lectures and tutorials, and making students aware of the consequences of academic offences;
- utilising plagiarism detection software; and
- investigating, and where necessary, penalising unacceptable academic practice relating to assessment.

All written assignments submitted via the VLE will be subject to scrutiny by the cloud-based plagiarism detection application Unicheck.[1]

All work is marked anonymously unless there are sound educational reasons for not doing so, or the type of assessment makes anonymous marking impractical e.g. an oral test or an essay in which discussion with the tutor on the topic identifies the student.

The College will investigate and, where necessary, penalise any conduct which is likely to give an unfair advantage to the candidate, affect the security of assessment, and/or affect the standards of the degrees awarded by College including, *inter alia* plagiarism, self-plagiarism, impersonation, collusion, falsification, exam misconduct, or contract cheating. Details of the processes are laid out in the Academic Misconduct Procedures, an appendix to the Academic Integrity Policy.

---

[1] https://unicheck.com/

3.8   Reasonable Adjustments

The College will make reasonable adjustments to assessment to support students with a disability (including a specific Learning Disability) or other long-term health condition) The aim of reasonable adjustment is to ensure that all students are provided with the opportunity to demonstrate the full extent of their academic abilities, irrespective of a disability or long-term condition.

Students should notify the College of any such condition at the time of enrolment or as soon as possible thereafter so that appropriate support measures may put in place.

Alternative assessment is one way to ensure that assessment tasks are sufficiently inclusive and give all students fair opportunities to demonstrate the course learning outcomes.

3.9   Exceptional Circumstances

Students must notify the College of any circumstances which are sudden, unexpected, significantly disruptive, and beyond the student's control and which may affect their performance at assessment, such as a serious illness or the death of a close relative. The College will ensure that appropriate arrangements are put in place for such students, such as an extension or deferral of assessment to a later date.

3.10   Submission of Written Assessment Elements

Students are expected to submit all written elements by the published deadline. Module documents will explain any penalties that may be incurred for late submission. A student who is unable to submit coursework without good cause may be deemed by the Exam Board to have failed the assessment concerned.

3.11   Attendance at Scheduled Assessments

Students are expected to attend all scheduled assessments e.g. presentations, workshops or class tests. A student who is unable to attend a scheduled assessment without good cause may be deemed by the Exam Board to have failed the assessment concerned.

3.12   Examinations

It is the responsibility of candidates to attend at the prescribed place and time. A student absent without good cause from an examination will be deemed by the Exam Board to have failed the examination.

3.13   Resit and Re-submission

When a student does not meet the progression and award requirements at the first attempt they may be permitted to undertake a resit or should be reassessed in the failed module(s).

3.13.1 Resitting Assessment

Where resitting is required and permitted a student will be provided with timely academic feedback and guidance prior to re-assessment. They may be offered, but are not automatically entitled to, additional tutoring and supervision. There is no fee for a resit.

Resitting should be by the same method of assessment. Where such a method is difficult or impossible (e.g. a group project) then the Board of Examiners may set a different assessment that allows the student to demonstrate achievement of the intended learning outcomes.

The maximum mark when resitting reassessment is capped at the pass mark. Should a student obtain a lower mark in the second attempt the higher mark will stand.

3.13.2   Repeating Assessment

Where a repeat of assessment is required and a student is given a second attempt at assessment in the following academic year. The student must re-enrol in the modules and further fees will be required.

The maximum mark when repeating assessment is capped at the pass mark.

3.14   Marking and Grading Criteria

A student's performance will be marked according to clear assessment criteria as set out in the module assessment document. Assessment criteria will:
- examine the extent to which the published learning outcomes have been achieved;
- be set at the required standard and level of the module; and
- be of a comparable standard to equivalent awards elsewhere in the UK.

Single blind marking and moderation is required for assignments. All PGT dissertations should be double blind marked and moderated with the markers determining an agreed draft mark.

3.15   Feedback

Students will be provided with feedback which will:
- relate to the learning outcomes and marking criteria;
- be clear, constructive and motivating;
- be sufficiently detailed;

- be critical with the intention of enabling student development;
- place ownership of learning in the student's hands; and
- be returned within the specified timeframe (usually within three weeks following the deadline for submission).

Formative feedback is often delivered in the classroom or in seminar activities. Students may also receive formative feedback through digital learning resources e.g. responsive learning apps like Cerego or in the feedback provided in discussion boards in the VLE.

This feedback may be both individual (relating to a specific student's work) or generic, referring to issues arising from the work produced by a cohort of students (this is particularly useful with exam feedback).

Formative feedback at an early stage in a module can help to identify those students who may need additional support.

Feedback should, wherever possible, be tailored so that it may be used by the students in future assignments. Feedback will be provided to students within 3 weeks of the deadline for submission.

At the start of each programme, and then periodically thereafter, students should be reminded about the importance of feedback to their learning. As part of the annual monitoring process, the views of students on the methods, content and timing of feedback is gathered.

Module review will consider if the feedback offered to students was timely, constructive and developmental.

3.16   Moderation

The College understands moderation to be a particular form of second marking based on a representative sample of student work to verify the consistent application of assessment criteria and marking schemes.

Moderation is required for all components of summative assessment, irrespective of the level of the work or the credit weighting of the assessments.

The Head of Department has overall responsibility for overseeing the planning and implementation of appropriate internal moderation processes on a programme or module. The grades generated by the first marker(s) are scrutinised to verify the consistent application of assessment criteria and marking schemes. This process of second marking

may involve the sampling of work across the range of marks. In this case the sample must include the following:

- all fails;
- examples from all bands; and
- several of the highest marks.

If the second markers agree with the marks for the sampled students, it can be assumed that marking is accurate for the population. However, if the second markers disagree with one or more marks, the sample must be extended to give confidence in the accuracy of marks for all students in the assessment. Any amendment to the marks of the sample must be applied to the rest of the cohort in order to ensure equity and consistency.

The process of internal moderations should also ensure the consistency of marking across all modules within the department.

Examples of moderated assessment will be provided, via the External Examiner SharePoint site to the external examiner for consideration prior to the meeting of the Board This should be accompanied by a completed Module Moderator Report to EE document. External examiners shall be informed of the identity of all internal markers and moderators for each module.

3.17   Board of Examiners
Assessment within all PTFI programmes is conducted under the supervision and control of the Board of Examiners. The Externality Policy, together with PGT Regulations outline the role of the Board of Examiners.

3.18   Assessment Review
The programme and module review policy and processes will consider how the assessment may continue to be developed and enhanced.